Draft ID: 634993ac-3cb1-447a-acf2-7234918f26a2

Date: 18/08/2020 15:13:05

Evaluation of the Network of Regional Hubs Initiative

Fields marked with * are mandatory.



European Committee of the Regions

Survey to the attention of the contact points of the Regional Hubs Network

What is this evaluation about and what will happen with the results?

The Regional Hubs Network has started as a two-year pilot project, and today, in view of its completion, it is up for a thorough evaluation to assess its continuation in the future. The final decision on the project's continuation will be taken by the CoR's Bureau on 11 September 2020. For the purpose of this evaluation, the RegHub secretariat will collect information, experiences and insights from those who have participated in the project.

This survey is specifically directed to the contact points of the Regional Hubs, as part of a broad effort to collect evidence on the functioning and objectives of the pilot project. Further surveys and personal interviews are being conducted simultaneously with the network partners at the CoR and in other European institutions. The survey results will be compiled into an evaluation report.

Contact point details

* Nan	ne of the Regional Hub			

* Name of the Contact point

* Position of the Contact point
If your hub is composed of different regions/communities, please specify which ones:
* Are you based in Brussels?
O Yes
O No
Questions regarding your hub
1. In your hub/region/administration are there better regulation activities in place (apart from being part of the Regional Hubs Network)?
YesNo
Please specify:
2. If you want to make any other comments with regard to the structure and organisation of your hub/region/administration, please specify here:
Working methods and communication
3. Overall, do you think that the current general approach and the working method used in the RegHub project are appropriate?
YesSomewhat
O No

If you don't think that the current approach is appropriate, please explain:								
. Has it been easy fo	r you and yo	ur administratior	n to contribu	te to the RegHub	project in a tim	nely manner?		
YesSomewhatNo								
f it was not easy for y	ou to contrib	ute, please expl	ain why and	feel free to sugge	est ways to ma	ke it easier:		
i. Please indicate you	r level of sat	isfaction with the	e following '	RegHub documen	its'.			
•								
1 Curvey questionne	viron							
i.1 Survey questionna	aires							
	Very satisfie		Neutra	Somewhat unsatisfied	Not satisfied at all	Not applicable		
Public procureme	ent ©	0	0	0	0	0		
Air quality	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Cross-border healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0		
State aid	0	0	0	0	0	0		
CAP vs territorial development	0	0	0	•	0	©		
Please explain:								
5.2 Implementation re	ports							
	Very satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat unsatisfied	Not satisfied at all	Not applicable		
Public	0	©	0	0	0	©		

procurement

Air quality										
Please explain:										
Executive summa	aries									
	Very satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat unsatisfied	Not satisfied at all	Not applicable				
Public procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Air quality	0	0	0	0	0	0				
ase explain:										
	Executive summa	Executive summaries Very satisfied Public procurement Air quality	Executive summaries Very Somewhat satisfied Public procurement Air quality	Executive summaries Very Somewhat satisfied Public procurement Air quality Neutral	Executive summaries Very Somewhat satisfied Public procurement Air quality Somewhat unsatisfied Air quality	Executive summaries Very Somewhat satisfied Neutral Somewhat unsatisfied at all Public procurement Air quality				

6. Please indicate your level of satisfaction concerning the following communication tools.

6.1 Communication tools

	Very satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat unsatisfied	Not satisfied at all	Not applicable
Emails	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restricted area in the members' portal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Videoconferences	0	0	0	0	0	0
Newsletter	0	0	0	0	0	0

lease indicate how imp	ortant you cor	nsider each of	the following f	functions of	any RegHub w	orkshop.
Workshop functions						
		Very important	Somewhat important	Neutral	Somewhat unimportant	Not importan at all
Dissemination of information the EU Better Regulat		0	0	0	0	0
Dissemination of information the current consultation policy areas		0	0	0	0	0
Revision and discussion ongoing consultations questionnaire design)		0	0	0	0	0
Getting in contact with from the CoR	officials	0	0	0	0	0
Getting in contact with from other EU institution		0	0	0	0	0
Exchange views and pother members of the network		0	0	0	0	0
Learn about stakehold	er	0	0	0	0	0

6.2 If you have any comment or suggestion concerning the above-mentioned tools, please specify here:

8. Could you imagine that your hub/region/administration organises a RegHub workshop in your region?
© Yes
No
Please specify, if necessary:
9. Would you be available to attend such workshop outside Brussels?
© Yes
O No
Places enceity if necessary:
Please specify, if necessary:
Interinstitutional / stakeholder relations
10. Where are your stakeholders from?
10. Where are your stakeholders noth:
Only from the private sector.
Only from the public sector.
More or less split between both sectors.
Mostly from the private sector.
Mostly from the public sector.Other
11. In your experience, is it easy to identify stakeholders?
© Yes
O No
Please explain, if applicable, the challenges you faced and share any suggestion for improvement:
12. Please describe in a few words your usual approach to get in contact and communicate with
stakeholders:

13. Please describe in a few words your usual approach to compile your stakeholders' feedback into one survey response:
14. Please choose an option to complete each of the following statements:
In my experience with stakeholders' responses to consultations
14.1they were easy to process and integrate.
I strongly agree.
I somewhat agree.
Neutral.
I somewhat disagree.
I strongly disagree.
Not applicable.
Please explain, if applicable, the challenges you faced and share any suggestion for improvement:
14.2they were concise and adequate (the replies matched the questions appropriately).
I strongly agree.
I somewhat agree.
Neutral.
I somewhat disagree.
I strongly disagree.
Not applicable.
Please explain, if applicable, the challenges you faced and share any suggestion for improvement:
15. If applicable, please describe how the involvement in the RegHub network has shaped and changed
your relations with your stakeholders (both within and outside your organisation/administration):
16. Has your region's participation in the RegHub network led to a change in staffing/working methods in your administration?
Yes, my administration had to reassign tasks and responsibilities.

Yes, my administration had to hire new staff.
Yes, my administration established new procedures.No, but RegHub has replaced other/similar activities.
No, there were no changes.
Other.
17. Compared to other similar (transregional) networks or initiatives in which your region is involved, what do you consider to be the added value of the RegHub network?
18. In your experience, during the RegHub pilot phase, has the perception of the CoR, as an institution and partner in Brussels, changed for political or administrative actors and stakeholders in your region?
Yes
O No
I don't know.
Please explain:
19. In your experience, during the RegHub pilot phase, has the perception of the CoR, as an institution and partner in Brussels, changed for the other EU institutions?
Yes
No
I don't know.
Please explain:
20. If applicable, how has your region's participation in the RegHub network shaped and changed its relations with EU institutions?
21. If applicable, how has your participation in the RegHub network shaped and changed your relations with other RegHub network members (and their administrations)?

Identification and selection of policy areas for assessment

© Yes	
SomewhatNo	
If you don't think that the current approach is appropriate, please explain:	

23. Please indicate how important the following consultations are for your region.

	Very important	Somewhat important	Neutral	Somewhat unimportant	Not important at all	Not applicable
Public procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air quality	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cross-border healthcare	0	0	0	0	0	0
State aid	0	0	0	0	0	0
CAP vs territorial development	0	0	0	0	0	0

 The European Commission work prog The European Parliament implementa The Committee of the Regions work p Other 	tion review a	genda					
25. Do you think it would be feasible to contopics that would only be relevant for spector of example, a group of RegHub members, facing a could request an additional consultation on the implest all regional hubs willing to participate. Yes No	ific RegHub	members. policy area which is	s not covered	by the network's wo	ork programme,		
Please explain:							
26. Would you agree to the idea of having pieces of legislation (regulations, directive crisis, Green Deal)?	_		•		-		
YesNo							
Please explain:							
27. What are the most pertinent topics that explain why: 1500 character(s) maximum	t you would	like to see in a	future Reg	Hub consultation	on? Please		
Challenges for the Network of Regional Hubs							
28. Please indicate how important you consider each of the following activities of the RegHub network.							
28.1 Network activities							

24. In your view, what should primarily drive the choice of topics for the RegHub work programme?

		Very important	Somewhat important	Neutral	Somewhat unimportant	Not important at all	
	emination of information about EU Better Regulation agenda	0	0	0	0	0	
	resentation of LRA interests -vis other EU institutions	0	0	0	0	0	
imple	uction of technical ementation reports to support political work of the CoR	0	0	0	0	•	
imple the E	uction of technical ementation reports to support EU's Better Regulation ities (e.g. REFIT)	•	•	•	•	•	
28.2 If there are other important activities not mentioned here, but that should be conducted in your opinion, please describe them:							
Territorial i subnationa Yes No	you consider activities in the fractional by the CoR, as a potential way impact assessments collect views of local level. They are thus focusing on proposition of the control of the cont	to use the Fall and regional a	RegHub networ	k? tial asymmetri	c effects of EU legis		
Please explain:							
30. The CoR Bureau Decision defines the following bullet points as some of the project's main goals.Please indicate if you think that they have been achieved.30.1 Systematic and coherent collection of LRA's implementation experiences.							
		or LHA'S IMPI	ementation exp	beriences.			
O Yes	s newhat						
O No							
Please ex	olain:						

30.2 Providing support for the participation of regions.
2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
O Yes
Somewhat
O No
Please explain:
31. In your opinion, should the number of participating regions
remain the same.
be reduced.
be increased.
Please explain:
32. According to your experience, could your hub handle a number of consultations that
remains the same.
decreases.
increases.
Please explain:
33. If the number of consultations was to increase, what kind of resources and support would be needed to conduct them appropriately?
Additional resources for my hub (financial or staff)
Additional technical support from the RegHub secretariat
Additional resources for the RegHub secretariat (financial or staff)
Other
Please specify:

disseminating information about the European Commission's online consultations)?
 Yes. Yes, if further resources are provided. No, it would replicate existing structures. No.
Please explain:
35. If, by the end of the pilot phase, you are asked whether your hub/region wants to remain a member of the RegHub network, would you be in favour? Yes No
Please explain:
36. Is there anything we did not ask you in this survey that you would like to comment on? 3000 character(s) maximum

34. Would your hub/region be willing to take over additional functions besides feedback coordination (e.g.

Thank you very much for your participation!

Privacy statement

By filling out and submitting your answer(s) to this survey, you give your consent to the European Committee of the Regions for collecting and processing the answer(s) and personal data it contains, and for transferring the answer(s) and personal data to third parties involved in the analysis and dissemination of the survey results. The purpose thereof is to serve the aims of the RegHub network, including the drafting of a report that will be published and shared with relevant <u>EU institutions</u> as well as European, national, regional and local political representatives, administrations and stakeholders that are relevant for the topic covered by the survey.

The personal data is collected and processed according to Regulation (EU) 2018/1725. The follow-up of the survey requires that your personal data be processed in a file, which will be kept for a maximum period of 5 years. You have the right to access that file and the right to correct any inaccurate or incomplete personal data or to request the deletion of your personal data by sending an email to econ-survey-cor@cor.europa.eu. The requests will be processed without undue delay and in any event within one month of receipt of the request. Any queries concerning the processing of the personal data can be addressed to the

same e-mail, which is the first level contact for complaints and irregularities.

The Data Protection Officer of the European Committee of the Regions can be contacted at <u>data.</u> <u>protection@cor.europa.eu</u>. You have the right to have recourse at any time to the European Data Protection Supervisor: <u>edps@edps.europa.eu</u>.

I have read the privacy statement.

Contact

RegHub@cor.europa.eu